SINGLE BENCH

1 (4) CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 834 / 2007

PETITIONER :**Champa Bai Meher**
W/o Sanat Kumar
Aged about 32 years
R/o Gram- Ghota, P.O.- Ghota,
Tehsil- Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary, Department of Women & Child Development, Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur

2. Collector
Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

3. District Women & Child Development Officer Collectorate, Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

4. Project Officer (Women & Child Devlopment) Integrated Child Development project, Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

5. Smt. Saraswati Bai
W/o Suresh
R/o- Village Ghota, Tehsil- Dhamdha
Dist- Durg (C.G)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED COPY

2 (5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT ORDER OF DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(25)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 834 of 2007

Petitioner		Champa Bai Meher
	Versus	
Respondents		State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri H. S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, Pandel Lawyer for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th day of February, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner challenges the validity of the selection of the respondent No. 5 for appointment on the post of Aanganwadi Sahayika (Assistant) at village Ghota, District - Durg on the ground that the petitioner belongs to the family living below the poverty line whereas the respondent No. 5 belongs to the family living above the poverty line, therefore the selection of the respondent No.5 is contrary to the circular of the State Government dated 6.1.2006 and 10.4.2006.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has preferential right to the selection and appointment as Anganbadi Sahayika in Anganbadi Centre Ghota, District- Durg.

3. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on facts as well as on merit, the Collector, Durg is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganwadi Scheme and law.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

ıkur